UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,375	02/12/2007	Hideaki Tateishi	358275.30008	6219
38327 Juan Carlos A. I	7590 07/16/200 Marquez	9	EXAMINER	
c/o Stites & Har	rbison PLLC		MARX, IRENE	
Suite 900	1199 North Fairfax Street Suite 900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA	22314-1437		1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/577,375	TATEISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Irene Marx	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the mer	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.7				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	le			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are pending and subject to restriction.

Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 8-9, 14, 15 drawn to a fungus belonging to *Penicillium* or compositions thereof.

Group II, claim(s) 1,4, 7-9, 14, 15 drawn to a fungus belonging to *Eupenicillium* or compositions thereof.

Group III, claim(s) 1, 6, 8-9, 14, 15 drawn to a fungus belonging to *Talaromyces* or compositions thereof.

Group IV, claim(s) 10, 16 and 20 drawn to a method of controlling disease in a Gramineous plant or plant seed thereof by using a fungus belonging to *Penicillium* or compositions thereof.

Group V, claim(s) 10, 16 and 20 drawn to a method of controlling disease in a Gramineous plant or plant seed thereof by using a fungus belonging to *Eupenicillium* or compositions thereof.

Group VI, claim(s) 10, 16 and 20 drawn to a method of controlling disease in a Gramineous plant or plant seed thereof by using a fungus belonging to *Talaromyces* or compositions thereof.

Group VII, claim(s) 11-13, 17, 18 and 19 directed to a biological material treated with a fungus.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

First, the inventions of groups I-VII do not match a permitted category as PCT Rule 13.2 does not provide for multiple methods or products in one category. A variety of products and

Art Unit: 1651

compositions are claimed having distinct properties. For example, groups I, II and III encompasses different genera of fungi, while Group VII encompasses at least plants, seed, and soil, which are different compositions having different morphological and physiological properties. In addition, different methods using different starting materials are encompassed.

No common inventive concept is shared among groups I through III, since a technical relationship is lacking among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features because *Penicillium* that control infectious diseases of a Gramineous plant are known in the art. See, e.g., Renwick, A., "Assessment of in vivo screening systems for potential biocontrol agents of Gaeumannomyces graminis", Plant Pathology Vol. 40, No. 4, 1991, pp. 524-532, of record,

The requirement of unity of invention is not fulfilled because there is no technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" means those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Therefore, a technical relationship is lacking among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features.

Species

Also, this application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention in group VII. Should group VII be elected, applicant must elect ONE single species for examination.

These species are deemed to lack Unity of Invention because they are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for more than one species to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid. The species are as follows:

Soil, as in claims 12 and 18

Plant seed, as in claims 13 and 19

Claims 11 and 17 are generic

Art Unit: 1651

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions do not match a permitted category as PCT Rule 13.2 does not provide for multiple methods or products in one category. The various combinations of products claimed do not share a common component and are not technically closely interrelated. Accordingly, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (571) 272-0919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Irene Marx/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651